

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CWP-6162-1997
Decided on :15.02.2024

Gram Panchayat, Hansali and another
Versus
State Transport Authority, Chandigarh and others
... Petitioners
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Anil Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Manish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

None for respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Ms. Neha Sonawane, DAG, Punjab
for respondent Nos. 3 to 5.

None for respondent No. 6.

Mr. Rohit Kapoor, Advocate
for respondent No. 7.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to immediately continue with the bus service on Chandigarh to Sirhind via Chunni and Sadhugarh and for directing respondent Nos. 1 and 2 not to allow the buses of respondent Nos. 3 and 6 to enter the UT Chandigarh without counter-signed on the valid permits by the State Transport Authority, UT Chandigarh.

2. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for the private respondents have submitted that the matter is of the year 1997 and in all probability, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that he is not in touch with his clients and thus, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous at this stage but liberty be granted to the petitioners to move an application for restoration of the present case or to file a fresh petition, in case, any cause survives to them.
4. In view of the above, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty aforesaid.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

15.02.2024
Mehak

<i>Whether reasoned/speaking?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>