

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5404-2024
Date of decision: 02.05.2024

RampalPetitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Brijesh Nandan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Aakanksha Sawhney, Additional Standing Counsel, and
Ms. Aashna Gill, Junior Panel Counsel,
for respondents No.1 to 3.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits, for the petitioner has a remedy by way of an appeal, under clause 17 of the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme, 2006. And thereafter, if the occasion so arises, even by way of revision, therefore, he be permitted to withdraw the petition, to enable the petitioner to avail the said remedies.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty prayed for.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

02.05.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No