

CRM-M-11322-2023

270        IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
                 AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11322-2023  
Date of decision: 22.01.2024

MANINDERJIT SINGH AND ANR.

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: None.

\*\*\*\*

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.65 dated 21.06.2021 registered under Sections 324, 323, 34, 452 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P-2).

2.            The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant on the allegations that on 14.06.2021, in the evening, petitioners along with co-accused (since deceased) armed with *datar*, sickle and *daang*, entered into his house and gave blows on the eye-brow of right eye, right side of chest on the complainant on account of land dispute. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

2.            In view of the resolution passed by Bar Association of Punjab and Haryana High Court, there is no representation on behalf of the parties but perusal of the pleadings indicates that the compromise has been effected between the parties.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Tarn Taran, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

4. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak. A two Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Shakunta Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63***, speaking through Justice V.R. Krishna Iyer, has held as under:-

*“4. ....The finest hour of justice arrives propitiously when parties, despite falling apart, bury the hatchet and weave a sense of fellowship or reunion.....”*

5. Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466 and Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.65 dated 21.06.2021 registered under Sections 324, 323, 34, 452 of Indian Penal

Code at Police Station Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioner.

January 22, 2024  
*manisha*

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)  
JUDGE

- |      |                           |        |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable        | Yes/No |