

278 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10915-2024
DATE OF DECISION:-29.04.2024

Amritpal Singh ...Petitioner..
vs.
State of Punjab and another ...Respondents..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Gobind Singh Randhawa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gurjant Singh Gill, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner pray for quashing of FIR No.111 dated 06.12.2023, registered under Sections 279 and 337 IPC, at Police Station Fatehgarh Churian, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur, Punjab (Annexure P-1) and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 21.02.2024 (Annexure P-2).
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner hit the complainant with his motorcycle from behind, due to which she received severe injuries.
3. In pursuance of order dated 01.03.2024 passed by this Court, whereby parties were directed to appear before the Trial Court for getting

their statements recorded as regards the veracity of compromise arrived at between them, report dated 03.04.2024 has been received from the concerned Court, stating that complainant has effected the compromise with the accused with her own free will and without any coercion or pressure from any corner and same is found genuine. No accused has been declared as proclaimed person.

4. Once, the compromise has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and respondent No.2 having no objection as regards quashing of FIR as well as all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same against the petitioner; there does not appear to be any impediment as regards quashing of present FIR qua the petitioner. Even otherwise, in order to maintain peace and harmony between the parties, particularly under the circumstances wherein the alleged offences have no societal interest involved, it would be appropriate to render complete quietus to the aforementioned dispute by quashing the FIR on the basis of compromise entered into between the parties.

5. The parties having settled their dispute so as to live in peace in future, no useful purpose would be served by proceeding further with the criminal proceedings. In the light of above developments, no cause remains for the Trial Court to invest further time and effort in adjudicating this FIR. The compromise in question is even found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

6. Moreover, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from his client, submits that he volunteers to serve public cause by providing

one (01) BP Apparatus Floor Model to Civil Hospital, Batala, District Gurdaspur.

7. Thus, in view of the aforesaid facts, accompanied by statements of both the parties as well as keeping in mind the law laid down in the aforementioned judgments, FIR No.111 dated 06.12.2023, registered under Sections 279 and 337 IPC, at Police Station Fatehgarh Churian, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur (Annexure P-1) as well as all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, petition stands allowed, however, subject to providing one BP Apparatus Floor Model to the Civil Hospital, Batala, District Gurdaspur, within a period of two weeks from today as volunteered by the petitioner against due receipt issued by the concerned Civil Surgeon, who shall prepare an inventory in this regard for its regular inspection by the Director concerned. A copy of the receipt shall also be sent to the office of Advocate General, Punjab at the earliest for maintaining records in this regard.

29.04.2024
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
whether reportable: Yes/No