205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5617-2000 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.11.2024

KEWAL KRISHAN ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anil Sharma, Advocate with
Ms. Devyani Sharma, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

****

NAMIT KUMAR ,J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to release the service benefits to him i.e. gratuity, Provident Fund, pension, leave encashment and other connected benefits under the relevant rules.

2. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 submits that since the department is not having the old record at this stage, therefore, affidavit in terms of order dated 14.08.2024 could not be filed.

3. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

4. Since the petitioner has died and his LRs have not been brought on record, therefore, the instant petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the LRs of the petitioner to revive the same in case, any cause still survives.

11.11.2024 **(NAMIT KUMAR)**
renubala **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No

Renu Bala
2024.11.12 10:19
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document