

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

CWP-4867-2024

Date of Decision :01.03.2024

Monu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikas Bairagi, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, grievance of the petitioner is that though, the name of the petitioner has already been recommended for appointment in the department of Revenue and Disaster Management but the appointment order of the petitioner is not being issued by the respondents and that too without any valid justification.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the absence of any valid justification, an appointment cannot be denied especially, when the similarly situated candidates have already been appointed in pursuance to the same advertisement.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that appropriate decision qua the joining/issuance of appointment order to the petitioner will be taken by the respondents within a period of 02 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and in case petitioner is found entitled for the relief, the same will be

extended to him otherwise, due reasons for not accepting the claim will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed, which will be conveyed to the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

March 01, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No