

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

**CRWP 1926 of 2024
Date of Decision: 01.03.2024**

Anuradha Rani and another		...Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Punjab and others		... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Naresh Kumar Ganga, Advocate, for the petitioners.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners and also direct the respondents No.4 to 8 not to interfere in their lives and liberty.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2-the Senior Superintendent of Police Fazilka, District Fazilka and he shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into their aforesaid representation.
3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the parties, respondent No.2-the Senior Superintendent of Police Fazilka, District Fazilka is directed to depute a senior officer to look into the representation (Annexure P-4) and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate orders, in accordance with law.
7. This order shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the marriage of the parties. The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.
8. With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

01.03.2024
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No