



IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH

218

CWP-5964-2020  
Date of Decision: 19.09.2024

PARAMJIT SINGH PADDA AND ANR

... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. B.B.S. Randhawa, Advocate for respondents No.5, 6 and 11.

\*\*\*\*

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court for seeking setting aside of the reports dated 21.06.2018; 18.08.2018 and 12.08.2019, whereby the representations/complaints submitted by the petitioners have been ordered to be consigned to office.

The matter came up for the preliminary hearing before this Court on 03.03.2020, when the following order was passed:

*“After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions from petitioner No.1, who is present in Court, submits that as the dispute is between family members, there is a possibility of an amicable settlement between the family members i.e. the petitioners and respondents No.5, 6 and 11.*

*Notice of motion be issued to respondents No.5, 6 and 11 only, for 31.03.2020, for the purpose of exploring the possibility of an amicable resolution of the entire dispute between the parties, subject to the petitioners depositing a sum of Rs.10,000/- with the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.”*



The parties were required to explore the possibility of amicable resolution of the entire dispute in terms of the aforesaid order.

Even though the limited purpose of issuing notice of motion was confined only to exploring the amicable settlement between the parties, however, the mediation proceedings could not succeed.

Since the notice of motion was issued to respondents No.5, 6 and 11 only for a limited purpose and that the said purpose has not been achieved, hence, the merits of this case cannot be examined by this Court at this stage as the same were foreclosed while issuing notice of motion vide order dated 03.03.2020 itself.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that afterwards he has been in possession of certain other documents pertaining to the matter in hand and as such, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to take recourse to the appropriate alternative remedies in accordance with law.

Disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty as aforesaid.

SEPTEMBER 19, 2024  
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No