

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

232

CWP-5900-2019

Date of decision : 03.04.2024

Satvir Singh

....Petitioner

V/S

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of certiorari for quashing the office memo dated 27.08.2018 (Annexure P-5), which is the reply issued by the respondents to the legal notice served by the petitioner; office memo dated 18.03.2016 (Annexure P-2), whereby it was ordered to downgrade the seniority of the petitioner as UDC and office memo dated 12.04.2016 (Annexure P-3), whereby the seniority of the petitioner as UDC was downgraded from 7519 to 7702-A.

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 27.02.2024, the following order was passed :-

“In compliance with the order dated 09.08.2023, the present case has been listed in motion hearing by the Registry for completion of service of respondents which is pending post admission since year 2019.

A perusal of the file would show that vide order dated 17.05.2022, learned counsel representing the petitioner was asked to furnish fresh addresses of

respondents as the same provided at earlier point of time were not found correct. On 09.08.2023, one more opportunity was provided to the petitioner to do the needful and for filing process fee but till date, compliance is still awaited. On 22.09.2022, 01.02.2023 and 09.08.2023, none has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. A period of more than four years have been elapsed but service of the respondents is still incomplete.

In such circumstances, let notice to Mr. Saravpreet Gurna and Mr. D.S. Gurna, Advocates, appearing for the petitioner be issued for 03.04.2024.”

3. As per the office report, notice issued to Mr. Saravpreet Gurna and Mr. D.S. Gurna, Advocates, has been received back duly served. However, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.
4. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

03.04.2024
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No