

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(126)

CWP-4813-2024

Date of decision: - 29.02.2024

Jaskirat Kaur Ghuman

....Petitioner

Versus

Baba Farid University of Health Sciences and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Manu Loona, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishaw Partap, Advocate
for Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.1 and 2 to consider the request and conduct reassessment/re-checking/revaluation of the marks granted in the examination Answer Sheets of Physiology-A (Theory) and Physiology-B (Theory) of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation dated 19.02.2024 (Annexure P-3) and at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case competent authority of respondent No.1-University considers the same in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case the pleas raised by the petitioner are

found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents has submitted that competent authority of respondent No.1-University would consider the said representation dated 19.02.2024 (Annexure P-3), filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law and the same would be done within a period of six weeks from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to competent authority of respondent No.1-University to consider the representation dated 19.02.2024 (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioner within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and in case competent authority of respondent No.1-University is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible. In case, competent authority of respondent No.1-University is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of six weeks.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and competent authority of respondent No.1-University would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

February 29, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No