



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

**110**

CWP-11425-2024 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 16.05.2024

Parminder Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Punjab and Sindh Bank and another

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Satish Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

1. Prayer in the present petition is for directing respondent No.1-Bank to issue fresh receipt regarding repayment of loan amount to the petitioner as respondent No.2/Sub-Registrar/Naib-Tehsildar, is insisting on issuance of a fresh receipt for cancellation of Bank Mutation dated 01.12.2007 whereas respondent No.1-Bank is bent upon declining the same.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he is not seeking any direction to respondent No.1-the Punjab and Sindh Bank for issuance of any fresh NOC for cancellation of the mutation and that his prayer is only restricted to the extent of directing the Sub-Registrar, Mustafabad now Saraswati Nagar, Tehsil Jagadhari, District Yamunanagar, to take a decision on the cancellation report that had already been issued by the respondent No.1-Bank.



3. Mr. Teginder Singh, Advocate and Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate, enter appearance on behalf of respondent No.1-Bank and submit that the dues of the Bank have already been cleared and they have no objection in case the requisite correction is carried out in the revenue record by the Revenue Authorities.

4. Learned State counsel submits that he has no objection to the request made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, with the consent of the parties and without commenting on the merits of the present case, the present writ petition is accordingly disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2/Sub-Registrar/Naib-Tehsildar, Mustafabad now Saraswati Nagar, Tehsil Jagadhari, District Yamunanagar, to take a decision on the letter/report already issued by the respondent No.1-Bank, after granting an opportunity of hearing to the respective parties in accordance with law and preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE

16.05.2024  
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No