



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CM-14673-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP-4925-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 09.09.2024

Poonam Sahgal and another
... Petitioners
Versus
Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran (HSVP) and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Anand Chhibbar, Senior Advocate, with
Ms. Shreya B. Sarin, Advocate, for the applicants/petitioners.
Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 & 2-HSVP.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

CM-14673-CWP-2024

Replication to the written statement filed by respondents No.1 &
2, is taken on record. The application is allowed.

CWP-4925-2024(O&M)

At the outset, learned Senior counsel for the petitioners fairly
submits that in the wake of the stand set out in the written statement filed by
respondents No.1 & 2-HSVP, nothing substantive survives in the petition, and
the same be disposed of as such. However, he has drawn our attention to the
averments set out in para 7, and submits that an amount of Rs.43,89,949/-
(Rs.15,36,208/- principal and Rs.28,53,741/- being delay interest, as on
01.01.2024), is sought to be recovered from the petitioner(s) on account of
non-construction/ extension fee. Accordingly, he submits, qua the said demand



or the amount that is sought to be recovered from the petitioners, they would avail such other remedies, as shall be admissible in law.

As prayed, the petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, however, with the liberty prayed for.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

09.09.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO