

222

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10804-2024  
Date of decision: 05.03.2024

Rudraksha Badal Mahey ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Vikas Gulia, Advocate and  
Mr. Bhanu Udai, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.  
\*\*\*\*

**KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case having FIR No.39 dated 04.12.2023, under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 468, 471 (Section 419 and 201 added later on) at Police Station Cyber City, District Sonipat.
2. As per allegations appearing in the FIR, some unknown persons committed online fraud worth Rs.704584/- against complainant Neeraj. During investigation, the petitioner was nominated as accused and arrested on 23.01.2024.
3. Counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that the petitioner has falsely been named in the present case and during investigation, no incriminating article was recovered from possession of the petitioner except one mobile phone. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that now the matter stands compromised between the parties and it will take time for the

trial to conclude so prayer is made that the petitioner be released on regular bail.

4. The State counsel on instructions from ASI Naveen submits that after completion of investigation, although police has presented the challan but charges are still to be framed.

5. Counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant submits that matter has been compromised between the parties and now nothing is due against the petitioner and he pleaded no objection if the present petition is allowed.

6. Admittedly, the FIR in this case was registered against unknown persons. During investigation, the petitioner was arrested and now he is lodged in judicial custody. On completion of investigation, police has presented the challan but trial will commence only after the framing of charges. Thereafter, it will take considerable time for the trial to conclude. It appears that complainant has entered into compromise with the petitioner. In the given circumstances, no purpose is going to be served by keeping the petitioner in custody for any longer period.

7. In light of the above, without expressing on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing of bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

05.03.2024

Yogesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:-  
Whether reportable:-

(KARAMJIT SINGH)  
JUDGE

Yes/No  
Yes/No