

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4825-2024
Date of decision: 29.02.2024

Sudha AnandPetitioner

Versus

Punjab Urban Development Authority (PUDA) and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Dinesh Kumar Prajapati, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

“Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to look in to the request dated 14.12.2023 (Annexure P-10) as well as request/reminder dated 21.02.2024 (Annexure P-11) while directing them to issue ‘No Due Certificate’ and also execute the Conveyance Deed of flat in question in favour of the petitioner in view of the order dated 16.10.2023 (Annexure P-8) passed by Hon’ble Court in CWP No.21192 of 2022 titled as Sudha Anand vs Punjab Urban Development Authority (PUDA).”

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had even served the respondent authorities with a representation dated 21.02.2024 (P-11), but to no avail. Rather, he asserts that in the given circumstances, proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, be initiated against the erring officials, for the willful disobedience and non-compliance of

the order dated 16.10.2023 (P-8), is apparent on the face on the record. Be that as it may, he submits that he be permitted to withdraw the petition to enable the petitioner to pursue her representation (*ibid*). And avail such other remedies as shall be admissible in law.

As prayed for, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

29.02.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No