



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204

CRM-M-10755-2024

Date of Decision:17.05.2024

JEET SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Gurmail Singh.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 29.02.2024, following order was passed:

“By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.190 dated 16.09.2023 registered under Sections 323, 427, 506, 148, 149 IPC (Section 452 IPC added later on) at Police Station Sadar Fazilka, District Fazilka.

The allegations are that petitioner along with others, trespassed the house of complainant, caused injuries to him and his wife and also damaged windows and doors of the house of the complainant with the help of Kaapa.

Learned counsel contends that except Section 452 IPC, all other offences are bailable; that no specific role is attributed to the petitioner and that he is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Copy of the petition be supplied to him during course of the day.

Adjourned to 08.04.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, in case of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioner



shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Today learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurmail Singh submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 29.02.2024 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

17.05.2024
pry

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No