



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.11729 of 2024

Date of decision: 22nd May, 2024

Jaskaran Singh @ Jass

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sachin Kalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.248 dated 22.11.2023 under Sections 307, 323, 324, 148, 149, 120-B of the IPC (Section 326 of the IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Division No.6, District Jalandhar.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 19.03.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner was not named in the FIR in question but came to be arraigned as an accused in a supplementary statement made by the complainant injured after almost two months of the alleged occurrence wherein for the first time, he nominated the petitioner as being one



of the unidentified persons who too had attacked him. Learned counsel submits that as per the supplementary statements the petitioner allegedly inflicted simple injuries from the reverse side of a sword on his biceps.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 19.03.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 19.03.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

May 22, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No