



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

206

CWP No.4116 of 1999 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.10.2024

Ravi Kant
.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Ashish Verma, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

Prayer in this writ petition filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari, for quashing the impugned order dated 22.05.1997 (Annexure P-5). Further a writ of mandamus has been sought for directing the respondents to pay the salary of the petitioner for the months from May, 1996 to October, 1997 and further to reinstate the petitioner in service with all consequential benefits.

Since there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and respondent No.3, therefore, notices were ordered to be issued to the petitioner and respondent No.3.

Mr. Ashish Verma, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.3.

2024.PHHC.137107



As per office report, notice issued to the petitioner has been received back unserved with the report “not residing at the given address”.

Since there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, therefore, the instant petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

21.10.2024
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No