

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-11345 of 2022 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION :- 23.01.2024**

Chanjot Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. Tarunjit S. Grewal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gaurav Jain, Advocate
for Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for the complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. On 29.11.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has deposited a sum of Rs. 50,000/- with the Poor Patient Welfare Fund, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education & Research (PGIMER), Sector 12, Chandigarh in compliance of order passed by this Court. He has placed on record a Xerox copy of the acknowledgment.

Considering the fact that the dispute has arisen out of a matrimonial dispute, petitioner is directed to join investigation on 06.12.2022 at 11:00 A.M. at Police Station Women, Police Commissionerate Ludhiana and co-operate with the Investigation Agency. In the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

List on 14.03.2023.”

2. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Sukhwinder Singh has stated that pursuant to the order dated 29.11.2022, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.
3. In view of above, the interim order dated 29.11.2022 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C., 1973 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
6. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

23.01.2024
P.Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No