



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.10956 of 2024
Date of decision: 23rd August, 2024

Rakesh Kumar @ Rocky & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sanyam Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, Asst. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

Ms. Priya Mishra, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.230 dated 16.09.2018 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 323, 324, 34, 201 of the IPC pertaining to Police Station City Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur, along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom including case No.CHI/34/2020 (Annexure P-3) pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sangrur on the basis of compromise dated 23.02.2024 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 26.04.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get



their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Sangrur, in pursuance of the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondents No.2 and 3 are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Sangrur and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**' (2012) 10 SCC 303, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all



consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioners, are quashed.

7. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

August 23, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No