


2024:PHHC:091568



CRM-M-10643 of 2024 (O & M)

::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
(295)

CRM-M- 10643 of 2024 (O & M)

Date of decision: 22.07.2024

Vimlesh and ors.

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of Haryana and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.


Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate, for respondents No.2 to 4.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No. 0048 dated 29.01.2024 under Sections 120-B, 406, 420 IPC registered at Police Station Palam Vihar, District Gurugram and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 16.02.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 29.02.2024 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 29.02.2024 with regard to the compromise (Annexure P-2).

In terms of the order dated 29.02.2024 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,

**CRM-M-10643 of 2024 (O & M)****::2::**

Gurugram and as per the report dated 17.05.2024 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

In view of the aforesaid report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram, accompanied by the joint statement of both the parties, the present FIR No. 0048 dated 29.01.2024 under Sections 120-B, 406, 420 IPC registered at Police Station Palam Vihar, District Gurugram and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners herein.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

July 22, 2024
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No