



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.10691 of 2024

Date of decision: 22nd May, 2024

Sandeep Kumar Patil

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate for
Mr. Anmol Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, Astt. AG, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.340 dated 09.10.2023 under Sections 406/420/120-B of the IPC (Sections 467/468/471 of the IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sector-50, Gurugram.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 19.03.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned State counsel, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Subhash CIA, has not disputed that the petitioner was nominated as an accused on the basis of a disclosure statement allegedly suffered by co-accused who had in fact been in constant touch with the complainant; it was the co-accused who had been given the entire sum of money by the complainant for getting them admission in a medical college.



On a query put to the learned State counsel, he on instructions, has conceded that as per the complainant no money was deposited in the bank account of the petitioner.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 19.03.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation. However, she submits that recovery of the money, which had been allegedly paid to him through cash by the complainant, along with a mobile phone of the complainant has not yet been affected.

5. Be that as it may, since the petitioner has joined investigation, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 19.03.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

May 22, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No