

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-30-1992(O&M)

Date of Decision : 01.05.2024

BIMLA DEVI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

SUDESH KUMAR AND ANOTHER

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: None.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. As per the office report, notice issued to learned counsel for the appellant, has been received back with the report that “this is not my case.” The office report further reveals that the sole appellant had in fact died. Even notices issued to respondents no.1 and 2, have been received back with the report of incomplete address.
2. Neither any one has caused appearance on behalf of deceased appellant today nor efforts have been made to implead the legal representative(s) of the appellant.
3. Thus, it seems that legal representative(s) of the appellant is not interested to pursue the instant appeal.
4. In view of the above, the instant appeal is hereby **dismissed for want of prosecution**. However, liberty is reserved to the legal representative(s) of the appellant to move an appropriate application for restoration of the instant appeal, in case any cause still survives.
5. All pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

May 01, 2024

dharamvir

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE**