

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

119

CRM-M-11110-2024
Date of decision: 10.04.2024

BHARPUR SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Dushant Jog, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Hissowal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, under Section 482 Cr.P.C, the petitioner has invoked the inherent power of this Court, for issuance of a direction to the Police officials, especially respondent No.2 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 14.02.2024 (Annexure P-2), and not to unnecessary harass him, by calling him to the Police Station.
2. On 01.03.2024, this Court had directed the learned State counsel to have instructions from the quarter concerned.
3. Today, learned State counsel, on instructions imparted from H.C. Barnder Singh, submits that there was a complaint against the present petitioner, which was examined, and that complaint has now been filed, as the matter is not pertaining to the jurisdiction of the Police Station concerned, where, the complaint has been filed. Learned State counsel further submits that the petitioner was called once by DSP/SPL Branch, Mohali, to explain/answer the allegations as

levelled against him in the complaint, and after that not even once the petitioner was called for the above purpose.

4. In view of the statement as suffered by the learned State counsel, no further direction is required to be passed in the instant matter. Therefore, the instant petition is accordingly **disposed** of.

10.04.2024
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No