

**136 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Neutral Citation No. 2024:PHHC:051567
RSA-281-1992 (O&M)
Date of Decision: April 18, 2024

Jiwan Singh

... Appellant

Versus

Harnek Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Anupam Mathur, Advocate for the respondent.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Notice to the sole appellant received back unserved with the report that the given address is incomplete. Notice to the counsel for the appellant, who was earlier representing the appellant, was received back duly served through his e-mail, but nobody has put in appearance on his behalf.

2. Even the counsel for the respondent has stated that he has no further instructions, so as to appear on his behalf.

3. In the aforesaid circumstances, there being no address of the appellant available with the Registry, present appeal is hereby dismissed in default for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant, so as to get this appeal revived by moving appropriate application, in case, any cause of actions still survives.

April 18, 2024

1
sarita

(DEEPAK GUPTA)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No