



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

134

RSA-2564-1992(O&M)
Decided on :30.05.2024

Karam Singh and others

. . . Appellants

Versus

P.S.E.B. and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Ms. Manveen Pheruman, Advocate for
Mr. D. S. Pheruman, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. H. S. Deol, Advocate and
Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

None for respondent No.4.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 28.05.2024, this Court was pleased to pass the following
order:-

*“Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted
that inspite of the best efforts, she has not been able to get
in touch with the appellants.*

*Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 has
submitted that she would also get instructions as to whether
any cause survives in the present case.*

Adjourned to 30.05.2024.

28.05.2024”

2. Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 to 3, on instructions of Manoj Kumar, Clerk, who has relied upon the concerned SDO, has submitted that the appellants have sold the property in question and since the issue is primarily with respect to the disconnection of power supply, thus, nothing survives in the present case. It is further submitted that as per his instructions, respondent No.4 has also died.

2. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that in view of the statement made by learned counsel of respondent Nos. 1 to 3, the present appeal has been rendered infructuous and the same be disposed of as such but liberty be granted to the appellants to revive the appeal, in case any cause of action survives.

3. In view of the above, present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

4. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the parties on the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

30.05.2024

Mehak

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No