

116.

2024:PHHC:029551

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4956-2024

Date of Decision: 01.03.2024

BALWAN @ BALWAN SINGH AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Garvit Mittal, Advocate for
Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioners is that they are entitled for the minimum of pay-scale along with Dearness Allowance, keeping in view the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *State of Punjab and others vs. Jagjit Singh and others (2017) 1 SCC 148* decided on 26.10.2016 and subsequent order passed by this Court in *LPA No. 292 of 2023 Haryana State Legal Services Authority and others Vs. Karam Pal and others decided on 14.12.2023*.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 14.03.2023 copy of which has been appended as (Annexure P-12) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 14.03.2023 (Annexure P-12) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision, the petitioners are found entitled for any benefit, the same will be extended to them, otherwise due reasons for not accepting the claim will be mentioned for the information and necessary action for the petitioners.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
(JUDGE)

01.03.2024

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No