



CRM-M-10158-2019

-1-

**248 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10158-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.09.2024

Manjeet Kaur and another Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 for taking action against respondent No.4, who with his goons and anti-social elements, is causing death threats to the petitioners and their family members with intention to take illegal and forcible possession of the property of the petitioners, which they have got vacated in accordance with law.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that during the pendency of the present petition, petitioner No.1 has expired and thus, the present petition survives only qua petitioner No.2 only. He submits that petitioner No.2 is being threatened by respondent No.4, however, despite the representation filed by him before respondent No.2-the Commissioner of Police, no action has been taken so far.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioners. It is submitted that status report to the petition has already been filed. He submits that enquiry was marked to ACP (West), Ludhiana and the matter was got enquired into



CRM-M-10158-2019

-2-

through SHO concerned and on the basis of the enquiry conducted, the allegations made were not substantiated and hence, nothing survives in the present petition for adjudication.

4. However, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that as on date even after filing the present petition, there is a threat to petitioner No.2.

5. Heard.

6. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is apparent that the allegations made by the petitioners were enquired into and were not substantiated. Hence, the present petition is disposed of, however, if petitioner No.2 has any threat as on date as contended, he will be at liberty to file fresh representation to respondent No.2 i.e. the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. If any such application/representation is filed, respondent No.2 would take into consideration and decide the same expeditiously, in accordance with law.

24.09.2024

sharmila

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)**JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No