

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

120

2024:PHHC:054521
RSA-2285-1992 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 23.04.2024.

RAM PARKASH SEHGAL AND OTHERS

..Appellants

VERSUS

MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE, SONIPAT AND ANOTHER

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

PRESENT None for the appellants.

Mr. Sapan Dhir, Advocate, for the respondents.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

As per office report, notice issued to the counsel representing the appellants has been received back with the report that the said counsel had passed away in the year 2014 whereas notice to the appellants could not be issued for want of correct address.

Memo of parties has been perused which shows that only the names of appellants have been mentioned without any description of the house, locality, area or ward etc. where such persons are residing.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents also informs that the dispute in the present case pertained to the house tax and that there was no stay.

Seemingly, the appellants may not be interested in pursuing the present appeal and further it is currently not possible to contact the appellants.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

Pending, misc. application(s), if any shall also stand(s) disposed of accordingly.

April 23, 2024.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No