

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

117

CRWP-1880-2024

Date of decision: 29.02.2024

Ritika and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Mohit Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are praying for protection of their life and liberty, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of the private respondents.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that the private respondents have been pressurizing petitioner No.1 to solemnize marriage against her wishes.

3. On a pointed query put to the learned counsel for the petitioners as to whether any criminal case stands registered against petitioner No.2 with respect to abduction/kidnapping of petitioner No.1, who admittedly is a minor, he has replied in the negative and submitted that he does not have complete instructions in the said regard.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On asking of the Court, Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

6. Learned State counsel on instructions has disputed the submissions made by the counsel opposite. She has apprised the Court on instructions that an FIR stands registered against petitioner No.2

under Section 346 of the IPC at Police Station Kharkhoda, District Sonapat.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the relevant material on record.

8. In the facts and circumstances as enumerated hereinabove, this Court would not be inclined to extend any protection to a person who is an accused of a crime.

9. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the instant petition.

10. Dismissed as withdrawn.

29.02.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No