



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

103

RSA-2142-1992 (O&M)

Decided on :11.09.2024

Ram Parsad and others

... Appellants

Versus

Roshan (Deceased) through LRs and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Gautam Kaile, Advocate for
Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate
for the appellants.Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present appeal arises from the suit for permanent injunction.
2. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that inspite of the best efforts, he has not been able to get in touch with the appellants and thus, he is not in a position to state as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal as on date and hence, he prays that the present appeal may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty may be granted to the appellants to revive the appeal, in case any cause of action survives.
2. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the aforesaid liberty.
3. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the parties on the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

11.09.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No