



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA-567-1993

Date of decision : 14.08.2024

Chatino and another

... Appellants

Versus

Budh Ram

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Brijeshwar Vashist, Advocate for
Mr. Tarunveer Vashist, Advocate for the appellants.

Ms.Riya Mukherji, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present appeal arises from a suit for permanent injunction.
2. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that in spite of his best efforts, he has not been able to get in touch with the LR's of appellant no.1 or appellant no.2 to see whether any cause survives in the present appeal and has prayed that the present appeal be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty be granted to the LR's of appellant no.1 or appellant no.2 to revive the case, if any, cause survives.
3. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the LR's of the appellant no.1 or appellant no.2 to revive the case, if any, cause survives.
4. Registry is directed to send copy of present order to the parties, on the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

August 14, 2024

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No