



respondent No.2 does not want to take any action in the present FIR.

Status report has been filed by the State, wherein it is alleged that final report under Section 173(2) Cr.P.C. has been presented before the trial Court on 28.10.2022. Charges have been framed on 05.12.2022. Now the case is fixed for recording of the prosecution witnesses.

Since the trial is at the initial stage and the parties have effected a compromise in their matrimonial dispute, the parties are directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate up-to 16.04.2024 for recording of their statements. After recording the statements of all the accused, victim, complainant and injured, if any, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate shall send a report to this Court on the following facts well before the next date of hearing:-

I. Whether a genuine compromise has been arrived at between all the affected parties.

II. Whether the complainant, all the injured/victim and all the accused are party to the compromise?

III. Whether during investigation, any additional accused has been added and he/she is a party to the compromise?

IV. Whether any accused is a Proclaimed Offender?

V. Whether after the registration of the FIR any offence was added or deleted during investigation?

VI. Whether investigation is pending against any of the accused or any accused has been declared as innocent.

To await the report, adjourned to 29.05.2024. ”

3. Thereafter, on 29.05.2024 and 29.08.2024, the parties were again directed to appear before the jurisdictional/Illaq Magistrate in terms of aforementioned order. In compliance of the aforesaid orders, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between



the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834* and *Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.448 dated 12.09.2022 under Sections 354-D, 506 and 509 of IPC, registered at Police Station Model Town (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

November 20, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |