



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

RSA-1833-1992(O&M)
Decided on :23.07.2024

Smt. Taro and others

... Appellants

Versus

Sukhdev Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Nitin Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. J. S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Pankaj Samania, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 09.04.2024, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased
to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Mr. J. S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the appellants.*

*Mr. Saksham Khunger, Advocate
for the respondents.*

*Both the counsel state that they have no
instructions in the matter.*

*In view thereof, let notice be issued to the
parties, returnable 23.07.2024*

09.04.2024”



RSA-1833-1992(O&M)

-2-

2. As per the report of the registry, it has been stated that appellant Nos.1 to 5 are not residing at the given address and respondent Nos. 1 to 6 have died.

3. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that the present appeal may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty may be granted to the appellants to revive the appeal, in case, any cause of action survives.

4. In view of the abovesaid facts and circumstances, present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the aforesaid liberty.

5. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the parties on the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

23.07.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
~~Yes~~/No