



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

125

RSA-1824-1992

Decided on :29.07.2024

Ram Chander

... Appellant

Versus

Market Committee, Jaito through Secretary

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**PRESENT:** Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate
for the appellant.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 06.03.2024, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Mr.Surinder Garg, Advocate
for the appellant.*

None for the respondent.

*No one has caused appearance on behalf of
the respondent.*

*Learned counsel for the appellant submits
that the dispute in the instant appeal, is with regard to
the market fee. However, at the time admission of the
instant appeal, no stay was granted, therefore, the market
fee might have been recovered from the appellant.*

*He seeks an adjournment to seek
appropriate instructions from the quarters concerned in
this regard.*

Adjourned to 15.03.2024.

*In the meanwhile, let a court notice be issued to
learned counsel for the respondent by the Registry of this*

Court, informing the latter about the next date of hearing.

To be shown in the urgent list.

March 06,2024”

2. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that inspite of the best efforts, he has not been able to get in touch with the appellant to see as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal as on date and hence, he prays that the present appeal may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty may be granted to the appellant to revive the appeal, in case any cause of action survives.
3. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty is granted to the appellant to revive the appeal, in case, any cause of action survives.
4. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the parties on the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

29.07.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
Yes/No