



111
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-411-1993 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.09.2024

Kashmir Singh ...Appellant

Versus

Punjab State through Tehsildar Sales-cum-Managing Officer, Amritsar and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms. Perna Malhotra, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Garima Kuthiala, Advocate and
Mr. Utkarsh Khatana, Advocate for respondent No.3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present Regular Second Appeal is arising out from the suit for permanent injunction.
2. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that in spite of her best efforts, she has not been able to get in touch with the appellant in order to ascertain as to whether any cause survives in the present case or not and thus, prays that the present appeal may kindly be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty be granted to the appellant to revive the same in case any cause survives to him.
3. In view of the above, the present Regular Second Appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty to the appellant to revive the case in case any cause survives to him.
4. Registry is directed to send a copy of this order to the parties at the address given in the memo of parties.
5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

04.09.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No