



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

209

CWP-3478-1999
Date of decision : 03.10.2024

Kali RamPetitioner

V/S

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, D.A.G., Haryana.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to pay the petitioner his all retiral benefits along with interest @ 18% per annum.
2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 08.07.2024, the following order was passed :-

“Learned State counsel while referring to para 2 of the preliminary objections, submits that the instant petition has been rendered infructuous as the relief claimed in the petition has already been granted to the petitioner.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 26.07.2024.

Office to inform about the next date of hearing to Mr. Rajesh Chaudhary, Advocate, counsel for the petitioner.”

3. As per office report, Sh. Rajesh Chaudhary, Advocate,



CWP-3478-1999

-2-

counsel for the petitioner has been informed about the date of hearing. However, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner on the last date of hearing and even today also the position is the same.

4. In this view of the matter, the instant petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

03.10.2024

kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No