

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-10559-2023****Date of decision : July 30, 2024**

Naveen Yadav

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Ms Sanchi Bindra, Advocate and
Mr. Ghanshyam Meena, Advocate, for
Mr. Amar Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. The grievance raised through the instant petition, is that the application for discharge, filed by the present petitioner, was dismissed, by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurugram, and even the charges have been framed illegally. Both the orders are revisable. Instead of filing the revision under the relevant provisions of law, the petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., which, in fact, is a misconceived motion.

2. **Dismissed** with the liberty to the petitioner to make an appropriate motion, to redress his grievance, if any.

July 30, 2024

'tiwana'

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned ?
Whether Reportable ?

Yes/No
Yes/No