

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

IOIN-CRM-10972-2024 IN/AND
CRM-M-10493-2024
Date of decision : April 29, 2024

AmanPetitioner
VERSUS
State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner
Mr. N.K. Sheoran, DAG, Haryana

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

IOIN-CRM-10972-2024

1. Office note perused.
2. Considering the valid and good reasons, as recorded in the application, Sections 109, 120-B, 202 IPC, are added in the prayer clause as well as in the headnote. Registry is directed to carry out necessary amendment/correction in the headnote, accordingly.
3. IOIN stands disposed of.

CRM-M-10493-2024

4. After addressing arguments for some time, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the instant petition, at this stage.
5. Leave granted.
6. Consequently, the instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn, at this stage.

April 29, 2024
'tiwana'
(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ? Yes/No
Whether Reportable ? Yes/No