

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

138

RSA-1692-1992
Date of Decision.:04.04.2024

State of Punjab & another
Vs.
Dr. Balraj Setia

Appellants
Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Baltej Singh Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Parveen Jain, Advocate &
Mr. Himmat Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the respondent.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

It is informed that sole respondent- Dr. Balraj Setia has expired.

The issue involved in the present appeal was pertaining to the recovery of stamp duty, as to whether it was for the purpose of primary security or collateral security.

Learned State counsel concedes the fact that since the respondent has expired so it would not be possible to effect any recovery. Therefore, by keeping the legal position alive, the present appeal stands disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

April 04, 2024
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No