



101
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-1687-1992 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.08.2024

Dharam Chand ...Appellant

Versus

Harbans Lal ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. G.S. Jaswal, Advocate for the appellant.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present Regular Second Appeal is arising from suit for permanent injunction.
2. As per report of the Registry, sole appellant has died.
3. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that in spite of his best efforts, he has not been able to get in touch with the legal representatives of the appellant in order to ascertain as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal or not and thus, prays that the present appeal may kindly be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty be granted to the legal representatives of the appellant, if any, to revive the same in case any cause survives to them.
4. Even sole respondent, as per report of the Registry, has been served but today no one has appeared on his behalf.
5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Regular Second Appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty to the legal representatives of the appellant, if any, to revive the same in case any cause survives to them.
6. Registry is directed to send a copy of this order to the parties at the address given in the memo of parties.

31.08.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No