

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

134

RSA No.1685 of 1992
Date of Decision : 07.03.2024

Birbal Ram and OthersAppellants

VERSUS

Jagdev Singh and OthersRespondents

CORAM : HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : None for the appellants.

None for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate for respondent No.4.

Respondent Nos.5 and 6 proceeded against *ex parte*
vide order dated 02.11.1992.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)

1. Since none had put in appearance on behalf of the appellants, vide order dated 06.11.2023 notice was issued to the appellants. As per office report the appellants remain unserved as they were not found residing at the given address. This is the only address of the appellants available in the memo of parties as well as in the judgments passed by the Trial Court and the First Appellate Court.
2. In view of the above, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for non-prosecution.

3. Dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to revive in case anything survives in the matter. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

07.03.2024

jk

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO