

CRWP-1840-2024

1

227

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1840-2024
Date of Decision: 05.08.2024

Ashia @ Harleen Kaur and another
...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present:- Mr. N.S. Kamboj, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Brijesh Sharma, AAG Haryana


KIRTI SINGH, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 6 and are apprehending threat to their life from them.

2. Status report by way of an affidavit dated 14.05.2024 of Sh. Sanjay Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ratia, Fatehabad on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 has been filed in the Court today. The same is taken on record. A copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners.

3. In the status report, the following has been stated :-

“3. That it is relevant to mention here that in compliance of order dated 28.02.2024 passed by this Hon'ble High Court the inquiry in the present case was conducted by the Inquiry officer who during inquiry



recorded the statement of petitioners, wherein the petitioners denied regarding having threat perception from private respondents No. 4 to 6. That during Inquiry the inquiry officer also verified regarding genuineness of the marriage as solemnized by the petitioners with each other and in this regard statement of Sewadar of Gurudwara i.e. Gurudwara Dashmesh Pita Patsahi Daswi, Village Singadevi, Tehsil kharar, District SAS Nagar, Mohalli Punjab was recorded who in his statement stated that on 23.02.2024 the marriage of petitioners were solemnized in Gurudwara. That as per Aadhar Card of the petitioner No.1 her date of birth is 14.06.2005 it means that at the time of marriage the petitioner No.1 and petitioner No.2 are of marriageable age. That from the inquiry it was transpired that no cognizable or non-cognizable offence are seems to be attracted in the instant case in accordance with facts & circumstances' of the case. Copy of Inquiry report of S.H.O. P.S. Sadar Ratia is appended herewith as Annexure R-1. ”

4. In view of the above, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

05.08.2024

reena

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No