

102

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRWP-2018-2024

Date of Decision : 06.03.2024

HARDEEP KHATRI

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present : Mr. Randeep S. Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. P.P.Chahar, Sr. DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. The prayer made in the instant writ petition, is that, a mandamus be passed upon the competent authority to make a well reasoned decision upon the present applicant's parole application.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite his application (supra) becoming received by the competent authority on 08.11.2023, but yet no decision becoming made on the said application. Therefore, the counsel for the petitioner submits that the competent authority is required to be directed to make an expeditious decision on the applicant's parole application.
3. It appears on a perusal of affidavit placed on record today before this Court by the learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana,

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite his application (supra) becoming received by the competent authority on 08.11.2023, but yet no decision becoming made on the said application. Therefore, the counsel for the petitioner submits that the competent authority is required to be directed to make an expeditious decision on the applicant's parole application.

3. It appears on a perusal of affidavit placed on record today before this Court by the learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana,

affidavit whereof becomes sworn by Mr. Amit Kumar, Superintendent, District Prison, Karnal, that the said delay is a sequel of the present applicant stating his native address to be at Sonipat, whereas, the native address of the present applicant is at village Tikri Khurd, P.S. NIA, Narela, Delhi. Therefore, the requisite verificatory steps could not be, for the above reasons thus undertaken by the police officials concerned.

4. It is stated by the learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana that the police agencies concerned are in the process of verifying the possibility of eruption of law and order problem at the native village of the present petitioner thus, in the event of the present applicant's, application for parole being allowed, and, that presently the regular parole case of the above said prisoner is pending with the District Magistrate, North Delhi for his report/recommendation in the above regard.

5. If so, the requisite verificatory processes be ensured to be completed within a week, inasmuch as, the submission of report by the District Magistrate, North Delhi, be done within a week from today, and, on receipt of the said report, the competent authority is directed to within ten days thereafter, make a well speaking decision on the applicant's, parole application.

6. Disposed of accordingly.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

06.03.2024
kavneet singh