

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

(122)

**CWP-4669-2024****Date of decision: - 29.02.2024****Anish****....Petitioner****Versus****Central Board of Secondary Education and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Stephan Masih, Advocate, and  
Ms. Madhu Rani, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kannan Malik, Advocate  
for respondent No.1 to 3-CBSE.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to change the date of birth of the petitioner in matriculation certificate (Annexure P-1) from “17.06.2004” to “01.06.2004”, in pursuance of the date of birth certificate (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievance raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a legal notice dated 10.01.2024 (Annexure P-5) and at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case competent authority of respondent No.1-Board considers the same, in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case, the plea raised by the petitioner is found to be meritorious,

then, grant the appropriate relief to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 3 has submitted that competent authority of respondent No.1-Board would consider the said legal notice dated 10.01.2024 (Annexure P-5), filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law, and the same would be done within a period of six weeks from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to competent authority of respondent No.1-Board to consider the legal notice dated 10.01.2024 (Annexure P-5) filed by the petitioner within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and in case, competent authority of respondent No.1-Board is of the view that the plea raised by the petitioner is meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible. In case, competent authority of respondent No.1-Board is of the view that the plea raised by the petitioner is meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of six weeks.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and competent authority of respondent No.1-Board would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

**February 29, 2024**  
naresh.k

**( VIKAS BAHL )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No