

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

RSA-1551-1992
Decided on :11.03.2024

Rajinder Singh and others

. . . Appellants

Versus

Harjit Kaur

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. P. S. Punia, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. G. S. Bidhan, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 24.08.2023, a Coordinate Bench of this court was pleased
to pass the following order:-

*“Learned counsel for the appellants prays for an
adjournment to enable her to seek instructions and to
prepare the case and address arguments.*

*In the interest of justice, adjourned to
06.10.2023, for arguments.*

LCR be summoned.”

2. On 08.11.2023 also, the case was adjourned as the counsel for
the appellants prayed for time to establish contact with the clients.

3. Learned counsel for the appellants has very fairly submitted
that inspite of the best efforts, he has not been able to get in touch with the

appellants and hence, he does not have the requisite instructions and submits that the present appeal may be dismissed for non-prosecution but liberty may be granted to the appellants to revive the appeal in case any cause of action survives.

4. In view of the above facts and circumstances, present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to the appellants to move an application for revival of the present case in case any cause of action survives in the same.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

11.03.2024
Mehak

<i>Whether reasoned/speaking?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>