

134

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4645-2024

Date of Decision:28.02.2024

PARVEEN KUMAR AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. B.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondent to count the service rendered as Special Police Officer for qualifying service for the purpose of seniority.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, *inter alia*, contends that case of the petitioners is squarely covered by judgment dated 06.09.1995 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in ***CWP- 812 of 1994 titled as ‘Balvinder Kumar and others vs. The State of Punjab and others’***, judgment dated 12.12.1996 passed by a Division Bench of this Court in ***CWP No. 7451 of 1996*** titled as ***‘Lal Mohd. and 25 others vs. State of Punjab’*** as well as judgment dated 16.03.2023 passed by this Court in ***CWP No. 5648 of 2017*** titled as ***‘Sukhmander Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others’***.
3. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab who on advance notice is

present in Court submits that in terms of judgments of this Court in *Balvinder Kumar (Supra), Lal Mohd.(Supra), Sukhmander Singh (Supra)*, the respondent authorities in the case of various officials have considered their claim and passed orders. He further asserts that appropriate authority would consider case of the petitioner in terms of judgment of Division Bench of this Court in *Balvinder Kumar (Supra), Lal Mohd.(Supra), Sukhmander Singh (Supra)* and pass an appropriate order within 3 months from today.

4. In the wake of statements of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

28.02.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>