



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9162-2019

Date of decision : 12.12.2024

Amandeep

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Shekhar Verma, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Sukhdeep Singh, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. The present petition filed by the complainant in case FIR No. 35 dated 28.01.2014 under Sections 307, 342, 406, 498A, 506 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Ferozepur, seeks cancellation of anticipatory bail granted in favour of respondents No.2 and 3, who are mother-in-law and father-in-law of the petitioner – complainant, by this Court vide order dated 06.10.2014 (Annexure P-2) passed in CRM-M-23245-2014, on the ground that from 08.02.2019 to 15.02.2019, respondents No.2 and 3 travelled abroad without seeking prior permission of the learned trial Judge.

2. This petition has been pending since the last five years.

3. Learned counsel for the State does not dispute that respondents No.2 and 3, after returning from abroad, have been attending the hearings before the trial court and have not breached any of the conditions, subject to which anticipatory bail was granted to them.



4. In view of the above, since there are no compelling reasons to cancel the anticipatory bail, which was granted to respondents No.2 and 3 after considering all the attending factors, this Court finds no reason to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to respondents No.2 and 3.

5. Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

December 12, 2024
narotam

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No