

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

140

2024:PHHC:031254

RSA-128-1992
Date of decision: 05.03.2024

GULZAR SINGH ..Appellant

Versus

SHINDERPAL & ORS. ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. B.S. Chahal, Advocate
for Mr. J.S. Chahal, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. On 22.08.2023, the following order was passed:-

“Ajaib Singh, legal representative of deceased appellant–Gulzar Singh, is reported to have died. Respondents No.2, 3, 5 & 6, are also reported to have died. However, as per the note given in the memo of parties, it is only respondent No.1–Shinderpal Singh, who is the contesting respondent–defendant.

List on 25.09.2023.

Let counsel for the appellant be informed to appear before Court on the next date of hearing and to do the needful, failing which, appropriate orders would be passed in the matter.”

2. The recent office report reads as under:-

*“Letter issued to Mr. Virinder Kumar Suri, Ld. Counsel for appellant has received back with remark that he is not well, give the letter to Mr. P.M. Anand, Advocate and P.M. Anand received the letter
Service report is as under:-*

<i>Respondents</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
<i>LRs of sole appellant 2, 3, 5, 6</i>	<i>Died, no CM has been filed for impleading LRs</i>
<i>1, 4</i>	<i>Served</i>

- 3. It is evident that the appellant No.1 and 4 have been served with the notice, however, they remained unrepresented.
- 4. It appears that the appellants are not interested in prosecuting the appeal.
- 5. Hence, dismissed for non-prosecution.
- 6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

March 05th, 2024
Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No