



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

563

CWP-12022-2004 (O&M)

Date of decision :04.12.2024

Balwant Singh Brar

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.


Mr. Surya Kumar, A.A.G. Punjab
for respondents No. 1 and 2.

None for respondents No.3,,4, and 6.

Mr. Sumbhav Parmar, Advocate and
Mr. Anil Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Arjun Pratap Atma Ram, Advocate for respondent No.5.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari, for quashing the impugned order dated 23.12.2002, whereby respondent No.5 has been given retrospective promotion as Executive Engineer(Civil) w.e.f. 17.08.1990 (Annexure P-5); for quashing the promotion of respondent No.6 to the post of Executive Engineer (Civil) w.e.f. 17.08.1991 (Annexure P-3); for quashing the further promotion of the private respondents as Superintending Engineer vide order dated 03.01.2003 w.e.f. 20.08.1999 (Annexure P-7); for quashing the seniority list dated 12.07.2004 (Annexure P-9) and for directing the respondents to treat the petitioner senior to the private respondents as petitioner was promoted earlier to them as Executive Engineer (Electrical).



2. There was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and respondents No.3, 4, and 6 on the last date of hearing, therefore, notice was issued to the petitioner; Sh. Puneet Gupta, Advocate, counsel for respondents No.3 and 4 and Ms. Sangita Dhanda, Advocate, counsel for respondent No.6 for today.

3. As per office report, notice issued to the petitioner has been received back unserved with the report that office has been shifted to Mohali.

4. Learned counsel for respondents submits that during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner as well as the private respondents have retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation.

5. Since there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, therefore, the instant petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

04.12.2024
Nisha-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No