

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

228

CWP-5489-2020
Date of decision: 29.04.2024

Kasturi LalPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate with
Mr. Sarthak Soni, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. Written statement on behalf of respondents No.2 to 4 has been filed in the Court which is taken on record.
2. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of certiorari to quash the order dated 03.06.2015 (Annexure P-5), whereby the claim of the petitioner for promotion of Sewer Mate has been rejected by respondent No.4 and further seeking a writ of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 29.10.2019 (Annexure P-8) made by the petitioner.
3. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.
4. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 submits that since for claiming the relief, the petitioner has also submitted representation dated 29.10.2019 (Annexure P-8) to the respondents

CWP-5489-2020

-2-

and one of the prayer in the petition is to decide the representation dated 29.10.2019 (Annexure P-8), therefore, the present petition may be disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the respondent(s) and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to expeditiously decide the representation dated 29.10.2019 (Annexure P-8), submitted by the petitioner by passing a speaking order, preferably within a period of 03 months from receipt of certified copy of this order. Benefits, if any, found due to the petitioner shall be released expeditiously preferably within a period of 04 weeks thereafter.

29.04.2024
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No